IN THE HIGH COURT OF UTTARANCHAL AT NAINITAL
ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

Dated Nainital the 19th October, 2006
Second Bail Application No. 968 of 2006
Order on the bail application of accused

CRIMINAL SIDE

Pratap Singh s/o Budhi Singh
R/o Dhuria Harsan
P.S. Bajpur
District U.S. Nagar ….Applicant

Versus

State of Uttaranchal …….Opposite Party

Arising out of case crime No. 965 of 2006
Under Sections 395, 397, 412 IPC
P.S. Bajpur
District U.S. Nagar

Hon'ble J.C.S. Rawat, J.

Heard Smt. Pushpa Joshi learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State.

Perused the record. This is a second bail application. The first bail application was rejected on merit.

Co-accused, namely, Mahendra Singh has already been released on bail by the Hon'ble B.C. Kandpal, J. vide order dated 29.08.2006. The learned counsel for the applicant contended that it is a case of parity. The facts and the role assigned in the commission of dacoity are similar. Learned A.G.A. has also conceded that it is a case of parity. It is a case of parity, therefore, it is a fit case for bail.

Let the applicant-accused be released on bail on executing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the Magistrate concerned.

Dated 19.10.2006
LSR

(J.C.S. Rawat, J.)